Parallel text typology ETT2024 master class

Robert Östling robert@ling.su.se

Institutionen för lingvistik Stockholms universitet

2024-06-12



```
English Likewise, every good tree bears good fruit, Swedish Så bär varje gott träd bra frukt, German So bringt jeder gute Baum gute Früchte, Chinese 照樣,凡好樹都結好果實, Plus over 1500 other languages!
```



2/9

```
English Likewise, every good tree bears good fruit, Swedish Så bär varje gott träd bra frukt, German So bringt jeder gute Baum gute Früchte, Chinese 照樣,凡好樹都結好果實, Plus over 1500 other languages!
```

How can we make the most out of this?



2/9

Alignment

- The core technology here is word alignment
- Translation-equivalent words (or better: morphemes) are linked across languages
- A difficult problem (I wrote a whole thesis on this!)
- What can we learn from word-aligned parallel texts?



Chinese

English Likewise, every good₁ tree₂ bears₃ good₄ fruit₅, Swedish Så bär₃ varje gott₁ träd₂ bra₄ frukt₅, German So bringt₃ jeder gute₁ Baum₂ gute₄ Früchte₅,

照樣, 凡好」樹₂都結₃好₄果實₅,





```
English Likewise , every \mathsf{good}_1 \mathsf{tree}_2 \mathsf{bears}_3 \mathsf{good}_4 \mathsf{fruit}_5 , Swedish Så \mathsf{bär}_3 \mathsf{varje} \mathsf{gott}_1 \mathsf{träd}_2 \mathsf{bra}_4 \mathsf{frukt}_5 , German So \mathsf{bringt}_3 \mathsf{jeder} \mathsf{gute}_1 \mathsf{Baum}_2 \mathsf{gute}_4 \mathsf{Früchte}_5 , Chinese 照樣 , 凡好_1樹_2都結_3好_4果實_5 ,
```

Assume we know how to analyze English, we can directly infer:

- Order between 1 and 2: AdjN in all cases
- Order between 2, 4, 5: SVO vs VSO

Remember, this is for *one* transitive clause and *one* adjectival modification. We learn more by computing summary statistics over whole texts.



Summary tables

| English Swedish German | VO | OV | NAdj | AdjN |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|------|------|
| English | 624 | 28 | 23 | 637 |
| Swedish | 489 | 34 | 27 | 417 |
| German | 304 | 437 | 36 | 770 |
| | • | | | |

What are those few casse of unexpected OV or NAdj order? In most cases, evidence that the alignment procedure is not perfect.



Further conclusions

We can also gather the set of word forms for each English form:

| English | Swedish | Chinese |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| good | god, gott, goda frukt, frukten | 好 |
| $fruit	extsf{-}\emptyset.SG$ | frukt, frukten | 果實 |
| fruit-s.PL | frukter, frukterna | 果實 |

What can we extrapolate from these and similar examples?

- Chinese nouns are not inflected for number (fruit = fruits)
- Swedish nouns mark number, but also something else (definiteness, which can be seen by the association with English the)
- Swedish adjectives are inflected (for gender and number)



A challenge

Dear GramBank people... or anybody?

Code a few languages by referring only to a Bible translation instead of a reference grammar.

- How much time did this take?
- How many features are (im)possible to figure out?
- What is the inter-annotator agreement?



Automation

Dear self

I know you all too well, you do not have the patience, focus or time to be a GramBank coder, so please figure out a way to do the above automatically.

- So far, we (Amanda, Bernhard, Östen, myself and others) have used simple heuristics and statistical patterns. Results will be presented soon.
- LLMs are becoming much better at general linguistics recently, to what extent can we now emulate the human analysis process?



Resources

OPUS

Collection of public parallel corpora:

```
https://opus.nlpl.eu/
```

Search for translation equivalents:

```
https://opus.nlpl.eu/legacy/lex.php
```

Bible-derived data

Publication and repository:

```
https://doi.org/10.1162/coli a 00491
https://zenodo.org/records/7506220
```

For this class:

http://robos.org/ett2024/



Robert Östling Parallel text typology 2024-06-12